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Energy transfer between two nanosecond light pulses interacting in semi-insulating 33m crystals is studied. By using the specific dependences of the photorefractive effect on wave polarizations and crystal orientation, the contribution of this to two-wave mixing in GaAs and InP is analyzed.

INTRODUCTION

Coherent optics offers unique features for information processing and transmission with a great parallelism and a high data rate. However, the implementation of these ideas for valuable processor demonstration requires nonlinear-optical materials that permit efficient interactions between optical beams.

Electro-optic and photoconductive materials are of considerable interest as they allow for large nonlinearities with low-power laser beams through the photorefractive effect. This effect has also proven to be fast when high-intensity light pulses are used for excitation.

Using photorefractive materials such as Bi$_2$SiO$_5$ (BSO), Bi$_2$GeO$_5$ (BGO), BaTiO$_3$, and KNbO$_3$, a wide variety of operations has been demonstrated in fields such as dynamic holography, optical memories, optical phase conjugation, spatial light modulators, or optical interconnections.

A new field of interest has been discovered recently from evidence that the photorefractive effect can be induced in the near infrared in compound semiconductors such as GaAs or InP. Four-wave mixing and energy transfer in two-beam-coupling experiments have been demonstrated in such materials.

Photorefractive semiconductor crystals are of great interest as they promise efficient optical processing in the near infrared. High-quality crystals are available, and their optical and electronic properties are adjustable according to the envisaged applications. The optical energy required to generate the photorefractive effect in these materials is low enough to permit the use of laser diodes. Moreover, because of the high carrier mobility, it should be possible to reach response times in the picosecond or even subpicosecond response times.

We present here results on energy transfer between two nanosecond light pulses at 1.06 μm, interacting in semi-insulating GaAs and InP crystals. The experiments confirm the fast photorefractive effect in both crystals. However, the effect has to be separated from other nonlinear phenomena, such as light-induced absorption. This can be done by using the characteristic symmetries of the electro-optic tensor. We will devote special attention to those symmetries and will show that efficient energy transfer can be obtained even in nonstandard configurations.

EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUES

Two-beam-mixing energy-transfer experiments were performed in two n-type semi-insulating crystals: a chromium-doped GaAs and an iron-doped InP.

The properties of our samples are inferred from measurements and from the literature, as given in Tables 1 and 2. The laser source is a Nd:YAG laser emitting 3-nsec pulses, with energies ranging from 0.5 to 6 mJ/cm$^2$. The setup is shown in Fig. 1. Because of the circular polarization of the output beam, a quarter-wave plate ($\lambda/4$) and a crystal polarizer are used to achieve linear polarizations perpendicular to the figure plane. This also allows us to adjust the beam energy. Using beam splitter BS$_1$, a pump beam and a weaker probe beam (1% of pump) are obtained. They interfere in the sample, generating an illumination grating of a period of 1 μm. Half-wave plates ($\lambda/2$) on each beam permit the rotation of the beam polarizations.

After transmission through the crystal, the probe beam is detected by a fast silicon photodiode (PD$_2$). A second one (PD$_1$) detects a fraction of the same beam, extracted before the crystal by beam splitter BS$_2$. The reference signal from PD$_1$, electronically delayed, is mixed with that from PD$_2$ at the input of a fast oscilloscope. The delay is adjusted for convenient observation of the two signals on a single oscilloscope trace.
### Table 1. Parameters of GaAs Crystal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$n$ (refractive index)</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon_r$ (relative dielectric constant)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_{41}$ (electro-optic coefficient) (pm V$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Cr] (chromium density) (cm$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>$10^{17}$</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$ (absorption coefficient) (cm$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$1.5 \pm 0.2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_0$ (dark conductivity) (G$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$10^{-8}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size along $[110]$ (cm)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size along $[001]$ (cm)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size along $[110]a$ (cm)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Direction of light propagation.

### Table 2. Parameters of InP Crystal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$n$ (refractive index)</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon_r$ (relative dielectric constant)</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_{41}$ (electro-optic coefficient) (pm V$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe] (iron density) (cm$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>$10^{17}$</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$ (absorption coefficient) (cm$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$1.4 \pm 0.2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$ (photoconductivity) (G$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$) (with $I$ in W cm$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>$(1.3 + 3I) \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size along $[110]$ (cm)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size along $[001]$ (cm)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size along $[110]a$ (cm)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Direction of light propagation.

where $\Gamma$ is the coupling gain per unit length and $l$ is the interaction length. $\gamma$ is measured by the ratio of the signals from the two detectors. This method permits consistent measurements of the coupling gain ($\Gamma l$) despite strong fluctuations in the pulse energy (15–20%). The final value for $\gamma$ is taken as the mean value from several experiments.

![Fig. 1](image1.png) **Fig. 1.** Experimental setup for energy-transfer measurements in semiconductors at 1.06 $\mu$m.

![Fig. 2](image2.png) **Fig. 2.** Oscilloscope traces of the transmitted probe pulse (PD2) followed by delayed reference pulse (PD1): (a) equalization of the signal, without pump beam, (b) depletion of the probe beam, with pump beam, (c) amplification of the probe beam, with pump beam. The vertical scale is 20 mV per division, and the horizontal scale is 10 ns per division.
SYMMETRIES OF THE PHOTOREFRACTIVE ENERGY TRANSFER

In the photorefractive effect the induced variations of the relative dielectric tensor \( \varepsilon_r \) are given in terms of the electro-optic tensor \( R \) and of the space-charge field \( E_1 \) by

\[
(\Delta \varepsilon_r) = -\varepsilon_r (R \cdot \hat{k}) \varepsilon_r \cdot E_1,
\]

where \( \hat{k} \) is the unit vector parallel to the grating vector \( k \) and \( E_1 \) is the complex amplitude of the space-charge field. In photorefractive crystals in the diffusion regime (no applied electric field) the phase shift between the index grating and the illumination grating is \( \pm \pi / 2 \), depending on the sign of the photocarriers and the orientation of the crystal. Thus

\[
E_1 = \pm m E_{sc},
\]

where \( m \) is the modulation ratio of the interference pattern (\( m \ll 1 \)) and \( E_{sc} = E_d E_q / (E_d + E_q) \), \( E_d \) and \( E_q \) being the diffusion and limiting fields, respectively, as defined in Ref. 23.

The photorefractive coupling gain per unit length of interaction of the two optical waves \( \xi_1 \) (probe) and \( \xi_2 \) (pump) is then

\[
\Gamma = \frac{2 \pi}{\lambda} \frac{E_{sc}}{n} \frac{1}{\cos \theta} (\hat{e}_1 \cdot \hat{e}_2^*),
\]

where \( \theta \) is the half-angle between the two beams inside the crystal and \( n \) is the refractive index at wavelength \( \lambda \). The effective electro-optic coefficient \( r_{eff} \) is given by

\[
r_{eff} = \hat{e}_2^* \varepsilon_r (R \cdot \hat{k}) \varepsilon_r \hat{e}_1,
\]

and thus depends on the orientation of the crystal and on the polarizations \( \hat{e}_1 \) and \( \hat{e}_2 \) of the two waves.

According to the symmetries of the (23) and (43m) crystals, the electro-optic tensor \( R \) may be written as

\[
R = r_{4l}(\hat{z} \otimes \hat{y} \otimes \hat{z} + \hat{z} \otimes \hat{z} \otimes \hat{y} + \hat{y} \otimes \hat{z} \otimes \hat{z} + \hat{z} \otimes \hat{y} \otimes \hat{z}),
\]

where \( \hat{x}, \hat{y}, \) and \( \hat{z} \) are the unit vectors of the crystallographic axis and \( \otimes \) denotes the tensorial product of two vectors. Using the relation

\[
(i \otimes j \otimes k) \cdot \mathbf{V} = (i \otimes j)(k \cdot \mathbf{V}),
\]

we may easily calculate \( r_{eff} \).

**Beam-Coupling Configuration**

In cubic crystals such as optically active BSO or BGO (23 symmetry group) and isotropic GaAs or InP (43m symmetry group), energy-transfer experiments are mostly carried out with the grating vector \( k \) parallel to the \([001]\) axis. That is, the so-called beam-coupling configuration (Fig. 3). In such a situation, \( \hat{k} = \hat{z} \), and the central term \((R \cdot \hat{k})\) in Eq. (4) reduces to

\[
(R \cdot \hat{k}) = r_{4l}(\hat{x} \otimes \hat{y} + \hat{y} \otimes \hat{x}),
\]

and the polarizations \( \hat{e}_1 \) and \( \hat{e}_2 \) of the two optical beams are assumed to be eigenpolarizations of the crystal, i.e., any polarization for the 43m crystal.

If \( \theta \) is half the angle between these two beams inside the crystal and \( \alpha \) is that of both electric fields relative to the \([110]\) axis (Fig. 3), \( r_{eff} \) may be written as

\[
r_{eff} = r_{4l} n^4 (\sin^2 \alpha \sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \alpha).
\]

The effective electro-optic coefficient is thus maximum when both waves are linearly polarized along \([110]\) (i.e., perpendicular to \( \hat{k} \): \( \alpha = 0 \)). Equation (8) then shows no dependence on the grating spatial frequency.

A straightforward calculation gives the well-known result that rotation of the crystal through \( 180^\circ \) around \([110]\) changes the sign of \( r_{eff} \), therefore also changing that of the energy transfer. This symmetry is important in order to characterize the photorefractive origin of the energy-transfer process. Such a behavior is illustrated by Fig. 4, in which the probe-beam gain amplification (\( \gamma_1 \)) or depletion (\( \gamma_2 \)), depending on the crystal orientation, is plotted as functions of the pulse energy for a GaAs sample.

One finds that \( \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \approx 0 \), which implies that the observed energy transfer is only due to the photorefractive effect.

**Diffraction Configuration**

In the diffraction-efficiency configuration the wave vectors of the two interfering waves (therefore the grating vector itself) are perpendicular to the \([001]\) axis (Fig. 5). This configuration is extensively used for the photorefractive characterization of optically active crystals belonging to the (23) symmetry group, 24 such as BSO and BGO. In that case, from Eq. (3) and using circular polarizations that are the eigenpolarizations of optically active materials, one easily shows that \( r_{eff} \) is zero unless \( \hat{e}_1 \) and \( \hat{e}_2 \) are orthogonal eigenpo-
larizations. Thus there is a possibility of energy transfer by diffraction of a circularly polarized wave into the orthogonal one, but no real beam coupling occurs between interfering waves with the same eigenpolarization. For this reason, beam-coupling experiments were not performed in this configuration.

However, it is of interest in isotropic 43m crystals. In that case the unit grating vector \( \mathbf{K} \) is

\[
\mathbf{K} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{x} + \hat{y}),
\]

and \((\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{K})\), the central term in Eq. (4), may be written as

\[
(R \cdot K) = \frac{r_{41}}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{y} \otimes \hat{z} + \hat{z} \otimes \hat{y} + \hat{x} \otimes \hat{z} + \hat{z} \otimes \hat{x}).
\]

When the same definitions as before are used for \( \theta \) and \( \alpha \) (Fig. 5), the effective electro-optic coefficient becomes

\[
\alpha_{\text{eff}} = r_{41} n^4 \sin(2\alpha) \cos \theta.
\]

It is zero for an incident rectilinear polarization parallel to the [001] axis. Again there is a possibility for energy transfer by diffraction of a linear polarized wave into the orthogonal one, but coherent coupling between interfering beams is forbidden.

However, for an incident polarization at 45° from the [001] axis, the effective electro-optic coefficient is a maximum. For that orientation and small values of \( \theta \) (i.e., large grating periods), \( \alpha_{\text{eff}} \) has the same value in this so-called diffraction configuration as in the beam-coupling configuration, and efficiency of the energy transfer is similar. An interesting feature is the polarization dependence of \( \alpha_{\text{eff}} \). A 90° rotation of the polarizations of the interfering beams will reverse the energy transfer, as did a rotation of the crystal in the coupling configuration. This is illustrated by Fig. 6. The relative gain \( \gamma \) of the probe beam versus pulse energy in the diffraction configuration has been plotted for the two polarizations. The symmetry is observed, and values for this relative amplification fit those obtained in the coupling configuration.

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The energy transfer in GaAs has been studied as a function of the energy of the pump beam. Results are illustrated in Figs. 4 and 6, which show reasonably symmetrical amplifications according to the predicted symmetries.

Similar experiments have been made using an iron-doped InP crystal. Figure 7 gives a plot of the relative amplifications \( \gamma_1 \) and \( \gamma_2 \) in the beam-coupling configuration for the two positions of the crystal that interchange the input and output faces. A strong nonsymmetrical behavior is observed, pointing out the influence of a nonphotorefractive effect.

In the small-gain approximation this behavior can be depicted by considering the relative gain of the probe beam \( \gamma_{1,2} = \exp(T_{1,2}) \) as the sum of two terms. The symmetrical term, \( \gamma_{\text{pr}} \), corresponds to the photorefractive effect; it can be extracted from the two measurements of the relative gain by

\[
\gamma_{\text{pr}} = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2).
\]

The nonsymmetrical contribution to the gain, \( \gamma_{\text{npr}} \), corresponds to a nonphotorefractive phenomenon; it is given by

\[
\gamma_{\text{npr}} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2).
\]

Results obtained in the GaAs and InP crystals are given in Figs. 8 and 9, respectively.

As anticipated from the results in Figs. 4 and 6, the only contribution to energy transfer in GaAs comes from the photorefractive effect (Fig. 8).

InP (Fig. 9) exhibits a photorefractive effect of the same order of magnitude as GaAs. However, there is an important nonphotorefractive contribution to the relative gain that is superimposed onto the photorefractive one. This produces an apparent increase of the crystal absorption in
Fig. 7. Energy transfer in InP in the coupling configuration: change in the amplification direction through 180° rotation of the crystal around its [110] axis (orientations (1) and (2)).

Fig. 8. Relative amplification of the probe beam owing to the photorefractive effect in GaAs: *, beam-coupling configuration; O, diffraction configuration.

Fig. 9. Relative amplification in InP, which is attributed to the *, photorefractive effect by symmetrization and O, contribution of the induced absorption.

The presence of the pump beam. This effect is not due to any population grating, as the same induced variation in the absorption coefficient arises for crossed polarizations of pump and probe beams (Fig. 10). The experiments were made by measuring the relative amplification $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ for the two orientations of the crystal. Results are reported in Fig. 11 and exhibit accurate coincidence with the points extracted from previous measurements by using Eq. (9). The physical origin of this phenomenon in these experiments is not fully understood yet.

From the literature (see, for example, Refs. 25-27) it appears that the generation of charge carriers resulting from optical excitation at $\lambda = 1.06 \mu m$ could involve various optical and thermal transitions. Further investigations are needed to determine their relative contributions in our experiments and to reach quantitative agreement between theory and experimental results. We will devote special attention to the relaxation kinetics of the induced absorption and wavelength dependence.

From the results just described, one can draw the following conclusions: In the short pulse regime (pulse duration $T_L$ much less than recombination $\tau_R$ and diffusion $\tau_D$ times) the space-charge field develops through diffusion or drift of the photoexcited carriers after the illumination end.5,7,28 Consequently it cannot be used for two-wave-mixing experiments.

Consider now the opposite case, i.e., the quasi-cw regime. It is assumed that the unmodulated part of the photoexcited charge density reaches its steady-state value before drift or diffusion occurs. The space charge builds up from repeated excitation, diffusion, or drift and recombination of the carriers.

Observing a photorefractive energy transfer between light pulses indicates that a space-charge electrostatic field is built in 3 nsec. Indeed, at low gains the time evolutions of the photorefractive gain and space-charge field are identical; therefore we probably observe either a quasi-cw regime or an intermediate one.

Keeping in mind the fact that the magnitude of the photorefractive effect depends on energy, we can set forth two reasons to explain the invariance of the coupling gain with energy greater than 1.5 mJ cm$^{-2}$. First, one could assume a
strong induced absorption is separated from the photorefractive effect by using the symmetries of the electro-optic tensor.

Experiments are in progress to study both the intensity-dependent absorption in InP and the photorefractive effect in both crystals through four-wave-mixing experiments.
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