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Single quantum emitters like atoms are well-known as non-classical light sources which can produce photons one by one at given times[1], with reduced intensity noise. However, the light field emitted by a single atom can exhibit much richer dynamics. A prominent example is the predicted[2] ability for a single atom to produce quadrature-squeezed light[3], with sub-shot-noise amplitude or phase fluctuations. It has long been foreseen, though, that such squeezing would be “at least an order of magnitude more difficult” to observe than the emission of single photons[4]. Squeezed beams have been generated using macroscopic and mesoscopic media down to a few tens of atoms[5], but despite experimental efforts[6–8], single-atom squeezing has so far escaped observation. Here we generate squeezed light with a single atom in a high-finesse optical resonator. The strong coupling of the atom to the cavity field induces a genuine quantum mechanical nonlinearity[9], several orders of magnitude larger than for usual macroscopic media[10–12]. This produces observable quadrature squeezing[13–15] with an excitation beam containing on average only two photons per system lifetime. In sharp contrast to the emission of single photons [16], the squeezed light stems from the quantum coherence of photon pairs emitted from the system[17]. The ability of a single atom to induce strong coherent interactions between propagating photons opens up new perspectives for photonic quantum logic with single emitters[18–23].

Unlike in a standard Kerr medium, our squeezing does not result from a simple nonlinear polarization of the medium but from a cavity-enhanced atomic coherence which exists for weak coherent driving. Consider a two-state atom with ground and excited states |g⟩ and |e⟩. In the absence of a resonator, the amount of squeezing is governed by the atomic coherence, σ = |g⟩⟨e|, and the excited-state occupation probability. The latter pro-
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duces incoherent scattering which destroys the squeezing. Therefore, the laser intensity must remain low to preserve the atomic and hence the optical coherence, see Supplementary Information. Under this condition, the optical squeezing is determined by the fluctuations of the atomic coherence, Δσ2 = ⟨(σ − ⟨σ⟩)2⟩, itself given by Δσ2 = −⟨σ⟩2 owing to the fermionic character of a two-state atom, σ2 = 0. Note that this sets an upper bound to the amount of squeezing which can be obtained, even when all the light scattered by the atom in all directions is observed.

The presence of the cavity introduces two important ingredients as sketched in Fig. 1. First, the cavity mirrors spatially direct the squeezed light towards the detectors thus eliminating the need to observe the full 4π solid angle. Second, the strong coupling to the optical cavity mode makes the energy-level structure of the atom-cavity system anharmonic and thus allows for two-photon transitions[17] between the system ground state and the second dressed-state manifold containing two energy quanta[9], |2±⟩, see Fig. 1a. The net result is an amount of squeezing at the output mirror that is given by −K⟨σ⟩2, where K depends only on the frequencies and width of the second manifold |2±⟩, see Supplementary Information. It follows that for a given excitation and coherence of the atom, the squeezing using a cavity is scaled by the factor K which can be large for strong coupling. In particular, for a resonant excitation of the dressed states |2±⟩, K increases with the coherent atom-photon coupling rate, g, relative to the total rate of decoherence (2κ for the two-photon coherence and γ for the atomic coherence decay), |K| ∼ g/(2κ + γ). We emphasize that squeezed light produced by a single atom in free space would be anti-bunched[24]. In our case, the light is squeezed and bunched[17].

Our transition scheme is similar to that of a four-wave mixing process[25], but the underlying physics is radically different as the scheme arises from the strong coupling of the quantized cavity field with a two-level atom. Moreover, the non-linear process differs from that in microwave experiments[26] where short unitary evolutions interrupted by measurements produce non-classical field states while in our case the squeezed light is generated and propagated out of a dissipative resonator under steady-state driving conditions.

The nonlinearity appears at a single-atom and single-photon level where quantum fluctuations play a major
role so that its understanding requires a full quantum treatment rather than a simplified linearized approach. In the experiment, the quadrature operator of the light field, $\hat{X}_\theta$, is measured outside the cavity using a homodyne detection with a controllable phase $\theta$. In this way we measure the time and normally ordered fluctuations of the quadrature of the light field, perfectly matches the value expected from the dressed state model.

The data present oscillations with a 9 MHz frequency and a 50 ns damping time, characteristic of a beatnote with the closest one-photon dressed state $|1\rangle$. The contribution from the state $|1\rangle$ is negligible, such that $f(\tau) \propto \exp(i\Delta \nu \tau)$. The measured autocorrelations are clearly phase-dependent and the antisymmetry between the $X$ and $P$ quadratures, which translates into an antisymmetry of their noise spectra is a first sign of nonclassicality. The value at $\tau = 0$ corresponds to the difference in the integrated noise variance between the signal and the reference: the negative value for the empty cavity, providing an accurate measurement of the shot noise level, and the phase of the local oscillator is shifted by $\pm \pi/2$ to alternate between the $X = X_0$ and $P = X_{\pi/2}$ quadrature measurements. For each quadrature, we acquire $\approx 3$ s of strong-coupling and $\approx 30$ s of reference data.

A time-resolved acquisition provides direct access to the quadrature autocorrelations, revealing the dynamics of the atom-cavity system. For each quadrature $X_\theta = X$ or $P$ we calculate the time-domain autocorrelations of the homodyne signal acquired for strongly coupled atoms, and subtract the autocorrelations of the empty-cavity reference, which leaves a quantity proportional to $\langle \Delta X_\theta(\tau)\Delta X_\theta(0) \rangle$. The normalization factor, obtained by measuring the mean value of the excitation field, perfectly matches the value expected from the detector’s parameters and includes its overall 55% efficiency without artificially compensating any other experimental imperfection such as the losses in the atom-cavity system itself.

Figure 2 presents two homodyne autocorrelation measurements. The first data set, Fig.2a, is measured with the probe tuned on the empty cavity resonance, $\omega = \omega_e$, while detuned from the trapped atom by $2\pi \times 8$ MHz. The data present oscillations with a 9 MHz frequency and a 50 ns damping time, characteristic of a beatnote with the closest one-photon dressed state $|1\rangle$. The contribution from the state $|1\rangle$ is negligible, such that $f(\tau) \propto \exp(i\Delta \nu \tau)$. The measured autocorrelations are clearly phase-dependent and the antisymmetry between the $X$ and $P$ quadratures, which translates into an antisymmetry of their noise spectra is a first sign of nonclassicality. The value at $\tau = 0$ corresponds to the difference in the integrated noise variance between the signal and the reference: the negative value for the $X$ quadrature confirms the presence of squeezing with one atom. For comparison, a second set of measurements, shown in Fig.2b, has been performed with the probe tuned close to the two-photon resonance, $(\omega - \omega_c)/2\pi = -12$ MHz, while detuned from the atom by $2\pi \times 3$ MHz. In this case the probe frequency is closer to the state $|1\rangle$ ($\Delta_{1-}/2\pi = 9$ MHz) but the transition through the state is verified by monitoring the transmitted light intensity using a single-photon counter. The quadratures of the light field reflected from the cavity are measured with a homodyne detector and sampled with a high-resolution fast digitizer. After trapping and probing each atom, an additional reference data sample is acquired with an empty cavity, providing an accurate measurement of the shot noise level, and the phase of the local oscillator is shifted by $\pm \pi/2$ to alternate between the $X = X_0$ and $P = X_{\pi/2}$ quadrature measurements. For each quadrature, we acquire $\approx 3$ s of strong-coupling and $\approx 30$ s of reference data.

A time-resolved acquisition provides direct access to the quadrature autocorrelations, revealing the dynamics of the atom-cavity system. For each quadrature $X_\theta = X$ or $P$ we calculate the time-domain autocorrelations of the homodyne signal acquired for strongly coupled atoms, and subtract the autocorrelations of the empty-cavity reference, which leaves a quantity proportional to $\langle \Delta X_\theta(\tau)\Delta X_\theta(0) \rangle$. The normalization factor, obtained by measuring the mean value of the excitation field, perfectly matches the value expected from the detector’s parameters and includes its overall 55% efficiency without artificially compensating any other experimental imperfection such as the losses in the atom-cavity system itself.

Figure 2 presents two homodyne autocorrelation measurements. The first data set, Fig.2a, is measured with the probe tuned on the empty cavity resonance, $\omega = \omega_e$, while detuned from the trapped atom by $2\pi \times 8$ MHz. The data present oscillations with a 9 MHz frequency and a 50 ns damping time, characteristic of a beatnote with the closest one-photon dressed state $|1\rangle$. The contribution from the state $|1\rangle$ is negligible, such that $f(\tau) \propto \exp(i\Delta \nu \tau)$. The measured autocorrelations are clearly phase-dependent and the antisymmetry between the $X$ and $P$ quadratures, which translates into an antisymmetry of their noise spectra is a first sign of nonclassicality. The value at $\tau = 0$ corresponds to the difference in the integrated noise variance between the signal and the reference: the negative value for the $X$ quadrature confirms the presence of squeezing with one atom. For comparison, a second set of measurements, shown in Fig.2b, has been performed with the probe tuned close to the two-photon resonance, $(\omega - \omega_c)/2\pi = -12$ MHz, while detuned from the atom by $2\pi \times 3$ MHz. In this case the probe frequency is closer to the state $|1\rangle$ ($\Delta_{1-}/2\pi = 9$ MHz) but the transition through the state is verified by monitoring the transmitted light intensity using a single-photon counter. The quadratures of the light field reflected from the cavity are measured with a homodyne detector and sampled with a high-resolution fast digitizer. After trapping and probing each atom, an additional reference data sample is acquired with an empty cavity, providing an accurate measurement of the shot noise level, and the phase of the local oscillator is shifted by $\pm \pi/2$ to alternate between the $X = X_0$ and $P = X_{\pi/2}$ quadrature measurements. For each quadrature, we acquire $\approx 3$ s of strong-coupling and $\approx 30$ s of reference data.

A time-resolved acquisition provides direct access to the quadrature autocorrelations, revealing the dynamics of the atom-cavity system. For each quadrature $X_\theta = X$ or $P$ we calculate the time-domain autocorrelations of the homodyne signal acquired for strongly coupled atoms, and subtract the autocorrelations of the empty-cavity reference, which leaves a quantity proportional to $\langle \Delta X_\theta(\tau)\Delta X_\theta(0) \rangle$. The normalization factor, obtained by measuring the mean value of the excitation field, perfectly matches the value expected from the detector’s parameters and includes its overall 55% efficiency without artificially compensating any other experimental imperfection such as the losses in the atom-cavity system itself.
For absorbtion losses, this value would be 5 times larger. For $P$ favors the transition for the probe is tuned on the empty cavity resonance, which with a characteristic beat via state $|1+\rangle$. In b, right column, the probe is close to the two-photon resonance $|2-\rangle$ with an interference between the two possible paths $|2-\rangle \rightarrow |1-\rangle \rightarrow |g0\rangle$ and $|2-\rangle \rightarrow |1+\rangle \rightarrow |g0\rangle$. The theoretical curves are obtained from the analytical model described in the text, taking into account the extraction efficiency of intracavity photons and the dynamical response of the homodyne detector. Statistical errorbars correspond to the standard deviations obtained with $\approx 30\,000$ data samples and $\approx 300\,000$ reference samples.

$|1+\rangle \langle 1+|/2\pi = -18$ MHz has a comparable contribution. With our parameters the two transitions interfere destructively, making the signal more complex. Moreover, the resonant excitation at the two-photon transition decreases the phase coherence and therefore, due to a larger contribution of the incoherent emission, the asymmetry of the $X$ and $P$ autocorrelations is slightly altered. The theoretical fits obtained from the analytical model above, including the extraction efficiency of intracavity photons, the dynamical response of the homodyne detector, and an additional 1 MHz decoherence rate due to atomic motion are in very good agreement with the experimental data for both parameter regimes.

The noise spectra of each quadrature, relative to the shot noise extracted from the reference data, are determined by a direct Fourier transform of the time-domain homodyne signal and corrected only for the 55% homodyne detection efficiency. They are presented in Fig. 3. For $\omega = \omega_c$ (Fig. 3a) at the expected 9 MHz $\approx |\Delta_{1+}|/2\pi$ frequency, we observe 12 $\pm$ 2 mDB of squeezing on the $X$ quadrature, with the same amount of antisqueezing on $P$. With a “perfect” cavity, single-ended and free from absorption losses, this value would be 5 times larger. For $\omega \approx \omega_n$ (Fig. 3b) the negative interference between the two decay paths results in a cross-over between squeezing and antisqueezing for each quadrature: the transition through the nearest state $|1-\rangle$ leads to squeezing on $X$ and antisqueezing on $P$ at low frequencies, whereas the transition through $|1+\rangle$ leads to the opposite behaviour around 13 MHz. The spectra are in remarkable agreement with the analytical model of cavity quantum electrodynamics for both parameter regimes.

It is instructive to compare the phase-dependent nonlinearity reported here for one atom to those obtained with macroscopic media. For the measurements with $\omega = \omega_c$ (Fig. 2a and 3a), where the largest squeezing is observed, the mean intracavity photon number is only $n = 0.033$. Therefore, only a small part of the impinging beam experiences losses due to atomic spontaneous emission, mirror coating absorption and cavity transmission, and $\eta = 86\%$ of the input power $P_n = 8.5$ pW is reflected towards the homodyne detector. For a light beam weakly squeezed by a third-order non-linear process, we can write an input-output relation expressing the squeezing in the frequency domain $\langle \Delta X^2 \rangle = -r\eta P \eta/2$ relative to the shot-noise level of 1/4, where $r$ determines the nonlinear response of the system. In our case $r\eta = 1.6 \times 10^8$ W$^{-1}$ which exceeds by 7 orders of magnitude the Kerr nonlinearity of a standard single-mode optical fibre[12] with the same amount of losses, and by 4 orders of magnitude the $\chi^{(3)}$ nonlinearities obtained by four-wave mixing in macroscopic atomic systems with similar bandwidths[10, 11]. The $\sim 10$ mDB squeezing level is of course very small compared to the 10 dB achieved in state-of-the-art parametric upconversion/downconversion experiments[27], which remain the best way to generate squeezing as a resource but require
several watts of pumping power: decreasing the latter to a \(\sim 10\) pW level would bring the squeezing down to \(\sim 10^{-9}\) dB. Finally, compared to experiments using atomic beams [5], trapping a single atom allows us to keep the non-linearity constant, as required for most applications.

The squeezing achieved in our setup is limited by the losses in the mirror coatings and the use of a symmetric cavity with two output ports. Both limitations will be removed in the near future by means of an asymmetric cavity with a lower loss rate. Other types of resonators with smaller mode volumes like microtoroids[28] or fibre resonators[29] could allow one to generate squeezed light on an atom chip. Using artificial atoms like quantum dots in microcavities[18] would lead to larger and fixed atom-cavity couplings. Furthermore, recent theoretical and experimental progress, e.g. [30] indicate that such experiments could soon be transposed to microwave systems using Josephson junctions coupled to strip-line resonators[19–21], where even stronger non-linearities could be achieved.
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THEORY

In this section we develop the theoretical description of the squeezing process in our atom-cavity system and outline the derivation of the equations used to interpolate the experimental data. To complement previous approaches based on the pure-state formalism[15, 31], we make a direct connection between the contributions of single-atomic dipole and the squeezing of the cavity field, and point at a distinction between the contributions of single-photon and two-photon excitation processes.

Quadrature squeezing of the cavity field

We consider a single cavity mode defined by the annihilation and creation operators, \(a\) and \(a^\dagger\) respectively, and obeying the canonical commutation rules \([a, a^\dagger] = 1\). The quadratures of the intracavity field are defined by

\[
X_\theta = \frac{1}{2}(e^{-i\theta}a + e^{i\theta}a^\dagger),
\]

where \(\theta\) is an adjustable phase. Defining the fluctuation of \(a\) with respect to the steady state value \(\langle a \rangle\) as \(\Delta a = a - \langle a \rangle\), the quadrature variance \(\langle \Delta X_\theta \rangle \langle \Delta X_\theta^\dagger \rangle\) reads:

\[
\langle \Delta X_\theta^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\Re(e^{-2i\theta}\langle \Delta a^2 \rangle) + \langle \Delta a \Delta a^\dagger \rangle) + \frac{1}{4},
\]

which, when normally ordered (symbol \(\langle \cdot \rangle\)), reduces to

\[
\langle \Delta X_\theta^2 \rangle : = \frac{1}{2}(\Re(e^{-2i\theta}\langle \Delta a^2 \rangle) + \langle \Delta a \Delta a^\dagger \rangle).
\]

For a coherent or a vacuum state, one has \(\langle \Delta a^2 \rangle = \langle \Delta a^\dagger \Delta a \rangle = 0\), thus the quadrature variance is equal to the shot noise, \(\langle \Delta X_\theta^2 \rangle = 1/4\), i.e. \(\langle \Delta X_\theta^2 \rangle : = 0\). The state of the field will be quadrature-squeezed if for some \(\theta\) the variance of \(X_\theta\) drops below the shot noise, \(\langle \Delta X_\theta^2 \rangle : < 0\).

The term \(\langle \Delta a \Delta a^\dagger \rangle\) is the incoherent part of the spectrum and can never be negative. In order to observe squeezing in a nearly-resonant dissipative system such as ours, this term must remain as small as possible, which can be achieved at weak enough excitation intensities. In this coherent (phase-sensitive) limit it becomes negligible compared to the coherent term \(\langle \Delta a^2 \rangle\) and the quadrature squeezing reduces to

\[
\langle \Delta X_\theta^2 \rangle : \approx \frac{1}{2}\Re(e^{-2i\theta}\langle \Delta a^2 \rangle).
\]

Squeezing generated by one atom in an optical cavity

To calculate \(\langle \Delta a^2 \rangle\), we consider a two state atom, with a ground state \(|g\rangle\) and an excited state \(|e\rangle\), interacting with the mode of the cavity with photon number states \(|0\rangle, |1\rangle, |2\rangle\,... The relevant physical parameters are:

- The atom-cavity coupling \(g\),
- The cavity field decay rate \(\kappa\),
- The atomic dipole decay rate \(\gamma\),
- The frequency of the driving laser \(\omega\),
- The amplitude of the driving laser \(\epsilon\),
- The detuning between the driving beam and the cavity resonance frequency \(\Delta_c = \omega - \omega_c\),
- The detuning between the driving beam and the atomic resonance frequency \(\Delta_a = \omega - \omega_a\).

The evolution of the system’s density matrix \(\rho\) is given by a master equation

\[
\frac{d\rho}{dt} = \mathcal{L}\rho = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[H_{JC} + H_P, \rho] + \kappa L_a \rho + \gamma L_\sigma \rho.
\]

In the rotating wave approximation and in the interaction picture with respect to the laser frequency, the Jaynes-Cummings hamiltonian is

\[
H_{JC}/\hbar = -\Delta_c a^\dagger a - \Delta_a \sigma^+ \sigma + g(a^\dagger \sigma + a \sigma^\dagger),
\]

where \(\sigma = |g\rangle\langle e|\) and \(\sigma^\dagger = |e\rangle\langle g|\) are, respectively, the lowering and raising operators. The terms

\[
\kappa L_a \rho = \kappa(2a \rho a^\dagger - \rho a^\dagger a - a^\dagger a \rho)
\]

\[
\gamma L_\sigma \rho = \gamma(2\rho \sigma \sigma^\dagger - \sigma \sigma^\dagger \rho - \sigma \sigma^\dagger \rho - \sigma^\dagger \sigma \rho),
\]

respectively describe the losses through the cavity mirrors and spontaneous emission from the atom into the free space modes. The laser light incident on the input mirror results in a coherent excitation of the cavity mode:

\[
H_P/\hbar = \epsilon(a^\dagger + a).
\]

The time evolution of the expectation value of a time-independent operator \(O\) is computed as \(d\langle O\rangle/dt = Tr(\mathcal{O} \mathcal{L}\rho)\). Defining complex detunings as \(\tilde{\omega}_c = \Delta_c + \epsilon\),
\[ i\kappa, \tilde{\omega}_a = \Delta_a + i\gamma, \]  
we have
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \langle a \rangle = i\{\tilde{\omega}_c\langle a \rangle - g\langle \sigma \rangle - \epsilon\} \tag{12}
\]
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \langle \sigma \rangle = i\langle \tilde{\omega}_a \langle \sigma \rangle + g\langle a\sigma \rangle \rangle \tag{13}
\]
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \langle a^2 \rangle = 2i\{\tilde{\omega}_c\langle a^2 \rangle - g\langle a\sigma \rangle - \epsilon\langle a \rangle \} \tag{14}
\]
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \langle a\sigma \rangle = i\{(\tilde{\omega}_a + \tilde{\omega}_c)\langle a\sigma \rangle + g\langle a^2\sigma \rangle - \epsilon\langle \sigma \rangle \} \tag{15}
\]

where \( \sigma_z = |e\rangle\langle e| - |g\rangle\langle g| \) is the population inversion of the atom.

We operate in the weak excitation regime, where the population of the excited atomic state \( |e\rangle \) is negligible. In this case \( \langle \sigma_z \rangle \approx -1, \langle a\sigma_z \rangle \approx -\langle a \rangle, \langle a^2\sigma_z \rangle \approx -\langle a^2 \rangle \), and the equations above provide the steady-state solutions
\[
\langle a \rangle = \frac{\epsilon g}{\omega_i - \tilde{\omega}_c - \epsilon^2}, \tag{16}
\]
\[
\langle \sigma \rangle = \frac{\epsilon g}{\omega_i - \tilde{\omega}_c}, \tag{17}
\]
\[
\langle a^2 \rangle = \frac{\epsilon^2(\tilde{\omega}_c\langle \tilde{\omega}_a + \tilde{\omega}_c \rangle + g^2)}{(\tilde{\omega}_c\langle \tilde{\omega}_a + \tilde{\omega}_c \rangle - g^2)(\tilde{\omega}_a\tilde{\omega}_c - g^2)}, \tag{18}
\]
\[
\langle a\sigma \rangle = \frac{\epsilon^2 g(\tilde{\omega}_a + \tilde{\omega}_c)}{(\tilde{\omega}_c\langle \tilde{\omega}_a + \tilde{\omega}_c \rangle - g^2)(\tilde{\omega}_a\tilde{\omega}_c - g^2)}, \tag{19}
\]

which yields for the fluctuations:
\[
\langle \Delta a^2 \rangle = \frac{-\epsilon^2 g^4}{(\tilde{\omega}_c\langle \tilde{\omega}_a + \tilde{\omega}_c \rangle - g^2)(\tilde{\omega}_a\tilde{\omega}_c - g^2)^2} \tag{20}
\]
\[
\langle \Delta a\Delta \sigma \rangle = \frac{-\epsilon^2 g^3\tilde{\omega}_c}{(\tilde{\omega}_c\langle \tilde{\omega}_a + \tilde{\omega}_c \rangle - g^2)(\tilde{\omega}_a\tilde{\omega}_c - g^2)^2} \tag{21}
\]

Notice that, under the assumption of weak excitation, the field amplitude \( \langle a \rangle \) and the atomic coherence \( \langle \sigma \rangle \) scale as \( \epsilon \), whereas \( \langle a^2 \rangle \) and \( \langle a\sigma \rangle \) scale as \( \epsilon^2 \). More involved calculations\[15, 31]\ would show that \( \langle \Delta a^2 \Delta a \rangle \) scales as \( \epsilon^4 \). This is nothing else than saying that the spectrum is coherent at weak excitation, \( \langle a^2\sigma \rangle \approx |\langle a \rangle|^2 \propto \epsilon^2 \), thereby justifying the use of Eq.20 to compute Eq.6.

We now define the complex detunings of the dressed states \( |n\pm\rangle \) as
\[
\tilde{\omega}_{n\pm} = (n - 1)\tilde{\omega}_c + \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\omega}_c + \tilde{\omega}_a) \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4\gamma g^2 + (\tilde{\omega}_c - \tilde{\omega}_a)^2}. \tag{22}
\]

This allows us to simplify
\[
\langle \sigma \rangle = \frac{\epsilon g}{\tilde{\omega}_{1+}\tilde{\omega}_{1-}}, \tag{23}
\]
\[
\langle \Delta a^2 \rangle = K(-\langle \sigma \rangle^2), \tag{24}
\]

where the constant \( K \) is simply
\[
K = \frac{2g^2}{\omega_{2+}\omega_{2-}}, \tag{25}
\]

with the result in the body of the paper,
\[
\langle : \Delta X_{\sigma}^2 \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}\Re(e^{-2i\theta}K\langle \sigma \rangle^2). \tag{26}
\]

### Physical interpretation of the squeezing mechanism

Equation 26 shows that the squeezing of the optical field in the cavity is directly related to the squeezing of the atomic coherence. Due to the two-level structure of the atom, the elementary property \( \sigma_z^2 = 0 \) directly gives \( \langle (\sigma - \langle \sigma \rangle)^2 \rangle = -\langle \sigma \rangle^2 \). In the dressed-state picture, \( \langle \sigma \rangle \) depends only on the normal modes \( |1\pm\rangle \), see Eq.23, thus highlighting the one excitation processes. The constant \( K \), in contrast, connects the squeezing of the cavity field to the squeezing of the atomic coherence and demonstrates the importance of the two-photon states \( |2\pm\rangle \), as obvious from its definition Eq.25. The observed squeezing is therefore fundamentally dependent on the anharmonic structure of the atom-cavity system. The underlying physical mechanism can be summarized as such: At low atomic excitation, the dynamics of the internal state of the atom are largely coherent, which due to the quantized nature of the atom (two-level structure) directly translates into polarization squeezing, \( -\langle \sigma \rangle^2 \), and couples back to the cavity mode to create quadrature squeezing, \( -K\langle \sigma \rangle^2 \).

### Time-domain evolution and squeezing spectrum

The quantum regression theorem provides a set of linear differential equations for the two-time correlations:
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \langle \Delta a(\tau)\Delta a(0) \rangle = i\{\tilde{\omega}_c\langle \Delta a(\tau)\Delta a(0) \rangle
- g\langle \Delta \sigma(\tau)\Delta a(0) \rangle\}, \tag{27}
\]
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \langle \Delta \sigma(\tau)\Delta a(0) \rangle = i\{\tilde{\omega}_a\langle \Delta \sigma(\tau)\Delta a(0) \rangle
- g\langle \Delta a(\tau)\Delta a(0) \rangle\}. \tag{28}
\]

Solved with the initial conditions given by Eqs. 20 and 21, it yields for \( \tau > 0 \)
\[
\langle : \Delta X_{\sigma}(\tau)\Delta X_{\sigma}(0) \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}\Re(e^{-2i\theta}K\langle \sigma \rangle^2 f(\tau)), \tag{29}
\]

where the regression of the fluctuations is given by
\[
f(\tau) = \frac{\tilde{\omega}_{1+}}{\omega_{1+} - \tilde{\omega}_{1+}} \exp(i\tilde{\omega}_{1-}\tau) \tag{30}
- \frac{\tilde{\omega}_{1-}}{\omega_{1-} - \tilde{\omega}_{1+}} \exp(i\tilde{\omega}_{1+}\tau). \tag{31}
\]

The squeezing spectrum measured outside the cavity, normalized to the shot noise, can be obtained by a simple Fourier transform of the autocorrelations. Taking into
account the overall detection efficiency $\eta$ and the fact that the fluctuations of the field leaking outside the cavity can be related to those inside by $\langle \Delta a^2_{\text{out}} \rangle = 2 \kappa \langle \Delta a^2 \rangle$, it reads

$$S_{\theta}(\Omega) = 1 + \eta 2\kappa \int_0^\infty d\tau \cos(\Omega \tau) \langle \Delta X_\theta(\tau) \Delta X_\theta(0) \rangle$$

$$= 1 + 8\eta \kappa \Re \left\{ e^{-2\Delta a^2} \frac{i\omega_{1+} \omega_{1-}}{\omega_{1+} - \omega_{1-}} \right\}$$

$$\times \left\{ \frac{1}{\Omega^2 - \omega_{1-}^2} - \frac{1}{\Omega^2 - \omega_{1+}^2} \right\}, \quad (32)$$

sum of two Lorentzians with frequencies and widths defined by the normal modes.

**Interpretation in the dressed-state picture**

The physical description can now be transposed into the dressed-state picture to determine the transition mechanism shown on Fig. 1 in the main paper body. Two pump photons make a near-resonant excitation of the second doublet of dressed states, $|2\pm\rangle$, which decay via the normal modes $|1\pm\rangle$. The time dependence of the measured signal, determined by $f(\tau)$, corresponds to the beatnote of these photons with the local oscillator which has the same frequency $\omega$ as the probe. It is the sum of two terms oscillating at the detuning frequencies $\omega - \omega_{1\pm}$ of the normal modes and damping according to their linewidths. For each of the two possible transitions, the two emitted photons appear in opposite sidebands with respect to the local oscillator and, due to the coherence of the emission process, this results in quadrature squeezing at the corresponding frequency.

**EXPERIMENTAL SETUP**

The atom-cavity system is based on a 123 $\mu$m - long Fabry-Perot optical resonator formed by two identical mirrors (transmission 2.8 ppm, absorption losses 4.0 ppm, radius of curvature 200 mm, diameter 7.75 mm), reaching a finesse of $\approx 470,000$. A 785 nm laser beam, resonant with a TEM$_{00}$ cavity mode, is used to stabilize the cavity length and to form a red-detuned dipole trap for single $^{85}$Rb atoms, injected into the cavity by an atomic fountain. A 780.24 nm probing beam excites another TEM$_{00}$ cavity mode, nearly resonant with the $5^2S_{1/2}$, $F = 3$, $m_F = 3 \rightarrow 5^2P_{3/2}$, $F = 4$, $m_F = 4$ atomic transition. The probing power impinging on the cavity is set to 8.5 pW, which corresponds to 2.0 photons per relevant temporal mode defined by the cavity decay time of 60 ns. The effective atom-light coupling $g/2\pi = 12$ MHz exceeds the atomic dipole and cavity field decay rates (respectively $\gamma/2\pi = 3$ MHz and $\kappa/2\pi = 1.3$ MHz) and brings the system into the strong-coupling regime. The quality of the coupling, reduced compared to the maximal value $g_{\text{max}}/2\pi = 16$ MHz by the motion of the atom inside the trap, is deduced from the cavity transmission measured with a single photon counter: strongly coupled atoms drive the cavity off resonance and decrease the transmitted photon flux by a factor of 50 [9]. The light exiting the cavity through the input mirror is picked up by an optical circulator and reflected towards a balanced homodyne detector (Thorlabs PDB120A). The phase of the local oscillator, controlled by two mirrors mounted on piezoelectric actuators, is locked using an auxiliary 772 nm frequency-stabilized beam far off-resonant with respect to any cavity mode and hence directly reflected by the input cavity mirror. The homodyne signal is digitized with a 14-bit resolution at a 100 MHz rate. Within the overall 40 MHz bandwidth of the homodyne detection system, limited by the anti-alias filter of the digitizer, the 800 $\mu$W local oscillator power yields a shot noise level $\geq 9$ dB above the electronic noise.

**EXPERIMENTAL SEQUENCE**

The experimental sequence is divided in three parts: preparation, measurement, and control. During the preparation time ($\approx 3$ s), atoms are loaded into a magneto-optical trap located 25 cm below the cavity, optically cooled down to $\approx 5$ µK, and launched towards the cavity which they reach at nearly zero velocity. A sharp drop in the cavity transmission heralds the arrival of a well-coupled atom, trapped by raising the dipole potential from 0.2 to 0.9 mK. This triggers a 20 ms measurement sequence, which depends on the probing frequency. When the probe beam is resonant with the cavity ($\omega = \omega_c$), a cavity cooling mechanism leads to good atomic storage times and the system is probed continuously with a $P_n = 8.5$ pW incoming power. When the probe is tuned close to the atomic resonance ($\omega = \omega_n$) no cooling occurs: in this case the measurement sequence is divided into alternating 200 µs probing and cooling intervals (the probe power and frequency being set to $P_n = 8.5$ pW, $\omega = \omega_n$ for probing and $P_n = 1.7$ pW, $\omega = \omega_c$ for cooling). After each measurement, the last part of the sequence ($\approx 1$ s) is used to verify the powers of the trapping and cooling beams, as well as the power and the phase of the local oscillator, and to shift this phase by $\pm \pi/2$ to alternate between the $X$ and $P$ quadrature measurements.

The extremely low signal level combined with short atomic storage times puts drastic requirements on the experimental stability and technical noise suppression. This is achieved by actively controlling all experimental parameters and by optimizing the data acquisition procedure. During the 20 ms measurement sequence, the atom remains strongly coupled for 1.6 ms on average before it leaves the cavity. The remaining data, acquired when
leaving a quantity proportional to the cavity empty, is used as a reference. This nearly simultaneous signal and reference acquisition leads to an excellent cancelation of technical noises and slow thermal drifts. For each probing frequency we repeat the measurement sequence during ∼100 h to acquire ≈3 s of strong-coupling and ≈30 s of reference data for each quadrature.

**DATA ANALYSIS**

For each trapped atom, we divide the 20 ms data sample into 200 µs intervals and, using the measured cavity transmission, we select those where the atom was strongly coupled (transmission $T \leq 0.04 T_{\text{max}}$), and those where the cavity was empty ($T \geq 0.7 T_{\text{max}}$). For each interval, we calculate the autocorrelations with the maximal 10 ns time resolution allowed by the digitizer’s speed, we average the calculated functions over all qualified intervals with a given parameter set, and we subtract the mean value at large time delays ($t > 1$ µs) where signals become uncorrelated.

Figure 4 shows that the obtained “raw” autocorrelations of the strong-coupling signal are practically superimposed with those of the empty-cavity reference. The oscillations visible at this scale correspond only to the impulse response of the detector, i.e. to the autocorrelations of the shot noise measured with the finite detection bandwidth.

In order to properly normalize it, we unlock the cavity and measure the offset on the $X$ quadrature: all of the light is then reflected towards the homodyne detector, which corresponds to measuring a coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$ with $\langle X \rangle = \alpha = \sqrt{2} \eta_\text{fd}$ and $\langle P \rangle = 0$. The corresponding normalization factor perfectly matches the value expected from the detector’s parameters and includes its overall efficiency $\eta_\text{fd} = 0.55$, without artificially compensating any other experimental imperfection such as the losses in the atom-cavity system itself.

An accurate subtraction between the signal and the reference requires an extremely stable shot noise level, determined by the local oscillator’s power. This power is actively stabilized during the preparation and the control phases of the measurement sequence, but the stabilization system is too slow to follow the fast switching between the 200 µs probing and cooling intervals, and during the 20 ms measurement time the power is controlled by a sample-and-hold circuit. This circuit presents a small systematic drift, and the shot noise level changes by $\approx 10$ dBm (i.e. $\approx 0.2\%$) during these 20 ms. Since the strong coupling data corresponds to the beginning, and the reference data to the end of the acquisition, this variation appears on the differential autocorrelations, although it is too small to be visible on Fig. 4. To measure and compensate for this drift, for each parameter set we select the sequences where the atom was not trapped at all (triggering the acquisition but leaving the trap within the first 100 µs). In this case the variance of the homodyne signal corresponds only to the shot noise. By averaging over all such sequences, we obtain the evolution of the shot noise during the 20 ms acquisition. We then determine the average time interval when the atoms stayed strongly coupled (first 1.6 ms) and the one when the cavity was empty (last 16 ms of the 20 ms acquisition) and, for each case, calculate the average shot noise variance. Rescaling the reference data by their ratio $\zeta$ (typically 0.998) compensates for the drift and allows to completely cancel out the contribution of the shot noise on the differential autocorrelations: dominant on Fig. 4, it is absent from Fig. 2 in the main body of the paper.

Although the agreement between the measured data and the theoretical autocorrelation function $\langle \Delta X_\phi(\tau) \Delta X_\phi(0) \rangle$ (Eq. 29) is satisfactory, it can be further improved by taking into account the percussional response of the detector $D(\tau)$, obtained by renormalizing to 1 the integrated shot noise autocorrelations on Fig. 4. The theoretical curves on Fig. 2 in the main paper body correspond convolution of the theoretical autocorrelations $\langle \Delta X_\phi(\tau) \Delta X_\phi(0) \rangle$ with $D(\tau)$.

We calculate the noise spectra with a 10 kHz resolution by a direct Fourier transform of the time-domain homodyne signal divided into 100 µs intervals. Figure 5 shows that the “raw” spectra of the signal and the reference are, like the autocorrelations, completely superimposed, the reference spectrum being less noisy due to better

**FIG. 4:** “Raw” homodyne autocorrelations, comparing the signal (strongly coupled atom) and reference (uncoupled atom) data for a given parameter set ($X$ quadrature, probe frequency $\omega = \omega_c$, for other parameters the curves are very similar). The visible oscillations correspond to the impulse response of the detector, i.e. to the autocorrelations of the shot noise measured with a finite bandwidth.

In this case the variance of the homodyne signal corresponds only to the shot noise. By averaging over all such sequences, we obtain the evolution of the shot noise during the 20 ms acquisition. We then determine the average time interval when the atoms stayed strongly coupled (first 1.6 ms) and the one when the cavity was empty (last 16 ms of the 20 ms acquisition) and, for each case, calculate the average shot noise variance. Rescaling the reference data by their ratio $\zeta$ (typically 0.998) compensates for the drift and allows to completely cancel out the contribution of the shot noise on the differential autocorrelations: dominant on Fig. 4, it is absent from Fig. 2 in the main body of the paper.

Although the agreement between the measured data and the theoretical autocorrelation function $\langle \Delta X_\phi(\tau) \Delta X_\phi(0) \rangle$ (Eq. 29) is satisfactory, it can be further improved by taking into account the percussional response of the detector $D(\tau)$, obtained by renormalizing to 1 the integrated shot noise autocorrelations on Fig. 4. The theoretical curves on Fig. 2 in the main paper body correspond convolution of the theoretical autocorrelations $\langle \Delta X_\phi(\tau) \Delta X_\phi(0) \rangle$ with $D(\tau)$.

We calculate the noise spectra with a 10 kHz resolution by a direct Fourier transform of the time-domain homodyne signal divided into 100 µs intervals. Figure 5 shows that the “raw” spectra of the signal and the reference are, like the autocorrelations, completely superimposed, the reference spectrum being less noisy due to better
FIG. 5: “Raw” signal and reference homodyne noise spectra (X quadrature, $\omega = \omega_c$, for other parameters the curves are very similar). The visible features correspond to the frequency dependence of the detection gain, defined by the anti-alias filter (steeply rolling off beyond 40 MHz), and to a small (200 mV) and narrow ($< 10$ kHz) residual technical noise peak at 6.3 MHz with an equal height on both curves. The DC offset peak (−38.9 dBV) is cut off.

The only visible features are the smooth variations of the shot noise level due to a non-flat response of the digitizer’s anti-alias filter, and a small (200 mV) and narrow ($< 10$ kHz) residual technical noise peak at 6.3 MHz with an equal height on both curves. We rescale the reference spectrum by the same factor $\zeta$ as the autocorrelations to compensate for the small shot noise drift, and calculate the difference between the two spectra in logarithmic scale. Like for the autocorrelations, this cancels out the residual technical noise, and brings the noise level for frequencies above 30 MHz within 1 mV from 0: as can be expected from the parameters of the atom-cavity system, no spectral features appear at those frequencies, and the noise level of the signal is equal to the shot noise level of the reference. Since the narrow 10 kHz resolution is only necessary for efficient technical noise cancelation and is otherwise excessive for a system where relevant decay times remain below 0.1 $\mu$s, we average out narrow-band spectral fluctuations by convolution with a 1 MHz Lorentzian filter, which amounts to assuming that signals separated by $\tau > 0.16 \mu$s are uncorrelated. Finally, we correct the obtained spectra by the independently measured 55% homodyne detection efficiency, to obtain the curves presented on Fig. 3 in the paper body. We verified that the same spectra can be obtained by Fourier-transforming the differential autocorrelation functions and rescaling the result by the cavity decay rate and the overall detection efficiency including cavity losses.